# TTIC 31150/CMSC 31150 Mathematical Toolkit (Fall 2024)

Avrim Blum

Lecture 5: The Real Spectral Theorem

#### Recap

- Eigenvectors and eigenvalues, eigenvectors of same eigenvalue form a subspace. Eigenvectors of different eigenvalues are linearly independent, inner products, norm, Cauchy-Schwartz.
- Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization, any finite-dimensional inner product space has an orthonormal basis.
- Properties of orthonormal bases: Fourier coefficients, Parseval's identity
- Adjoint of a linear transform
- Reisz representation theorem. Use to prove that every linear transformation has a unique adjoint
- Self-adjoint linear operators: eigenvalues are real, and eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

Assume *V* is finite-dimensional

Theorem: every self-adjoint operator  $\varphi: V \to V$  (which we know has real eigenvalues) has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors (i.e., is "orthogonally diagonalizable").

- E.g., square symmetric matrices over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Gives a nice way to view action of such operators. Say  $\varphi$  has orthonormal eigenvectors  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$  with associated eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ . Then:

For 
$$v = \sum_{i} c_i w_i$$
, we have  $\varphi(v) = \sum_{i} \lambda_i c_i w_i$ .

I.e., just stretching or shrinking in each "coordinate".

Assume *V* is finite-dimensional

Theorem: every self-adjoint operator  $\varphi: V \to V$  (which we know has real eigenvalues) has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors (i.e., is "orthogonally diagonalizable").

Proof strategy:

- 1. Show that any such  $\varphi$  has at least one eigenvalue.
- 2. Use (1) to prove the theorem.

We'll do (2) first, then (1).

Assume *V* is finite-dimensional

Theorem: every self-adjoint operator  $\varphi: V \to V$  (which we know has real eigenvalues) has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors (i.e., is "orthogonally diagonalizable").

Proof part 2: induction on dimension of V.

- Base-case:  $\dim(V) = 1$ . By part (1), there is at least one eigenvalue and eigenvector, so just scale the eigenvector to be unit-length.
- Let dim(V) = k + 1. Let w be the eigenvector we are guaranteed by part (1) and let  $W = span(\{w\})$ . Let  $W^{\perp} = \{v \in V : \langle v, w \rangle = 0\}$ .
- Now, the idea to finish is to (a) show that W<sup>⊥</sup> is a subspace of V of dimension k,
  (b) show that φ restricted to W<sup>⊥</sup> is a self-adjoint operator on W<sup>⊥</sup> (and in particular maps W<sup>⊥</sup> to W<sup>⊥</sup>), and (c) apply our inductive hypothesis to W<sup>⊥</sup> (which by design is orthogonal to w).

Assume *V* is finite-dimensional

Theorem: every self-adjoint operator  $\varphi: V \to V$  (which we know has real eigenvalues) has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors (i.e., is "orthogonally diagonalizable").

(a): If  $\langle v_1, w \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle v_2, w \rangle = 0$  then  $\langle a_1v_1 + a_2v_2, w \rangle = 0$ , so it's a subspace. Dimension is k because a basis for  $W^{\perp} \cup \{w\}$  is a basis for V.

Now, the idea to finish is to (a) show that W<sup>⊥</sup> is a subspace of V of dimension k,
 (b) show that φ restricted to W<sup>⊥</sup> is a self-adjoint operator on W<sup>⊥</sup> (and in particular maps W<sup>⊥</sup> to W<sup>⊥</sup>), and (c) apply our inductive hypothesis to W<sup>⊥</sup> (which by design is orthogonal to w).

Assume *V* is finite-dimensional

Theorem: every self-adjoint operator  $\varphi: V \to V$  (which we know has real eigenvalues) has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors (i.e., is "orthogonally diagonalizable").

(b): If  $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$  want to show that  $\langle \varphi(v), w \rangle = 0$ .

• We can use the fact that  $\varphi$  is self-adjoint and w is an eigenvector.

• 
$$\langle \varphi(v), w \rangle = \langle v, \varphi(w) \rangle = \langle v, \lambda w \rangle = \lambda \langle v, w \rangle = 0.$$

Now, the idea to finish is to (a) show that W<sup>⊥</sup> is a subspace of V of dimension k,
 (b) show that φ restricted to W<sup>⊥</sup> is a self-adjoint operator on W<sup>⊥</sup> (and in particular maps W<sup>⊥</sup> to W<sup>⊥</sup>), and (c) apply our inductive hypothesis to W<sup>⊥</sup> (which by design is orthogonal to w).

Assume *V* is finite-dimensional

Theorem: every self-adjoint operator  $\varphi: V \to V$  (which we know has real eigenvalues) has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors (i.e., is "orthogonally diagonalizable").

(c): Now, just apply induction.

- Let  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $W^{\perp}$  of eigenvectors of  $\varphi$  restricted to  $W^{\perp}$ .
- So,  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k, \frac{w}{\|w\|}\}$  is an orthonormal basis for V of eigenvectors of  $\varphi$ .
  - Now, the idea to finish is to (a) show that W<sup>⊥</sup> is a subspace of V of dimension k,
    (b) show that φ restricted to W<sup>⊥</sup> is a self-adjoint operator on W<sup>⊥</sup> (and in particular maps W<sup>⊥</sup> to W<sup>⊥</sup>), and (c) apply our inductive hypothesis to W<sup>⊥</sup> (which by design is orthogonal to w).

Assume *V* is finite-dimensional

Theorem: every self-adjoint operator  $\varphi: V \to V$  (which we know has real eigenvalues) has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors (i.e., is "orthogonally diagonalizable").

Proof strategy:

- 1. Show that any such  $\varphi$  has at least one eigenvalue.
- 2. Use (1) to prove the theorem.

Now, need to do (1).

Let's begin by assuming V is over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then won't need self-adjointness.



Let's begin by assuming V is over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then won't need self-adjointness.

**Proposition 2.1** Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a linear operator. Then  $\varphi$  has at least one eigenvalue.

**Proof:** Let dim(*V*) = *n*. Let  $v \in V \setminus 0_V$  be any non-zero vector. Consider the set of n + 1 vectors  $\{v, \varphi(v), \varphi^2(v), \dots, \varphi^n(v)\}$  where  $\varphi^i(v) = \varphi(\varphi^{i-1}(v))$ . Since the dimension of *V* is *n*, there must exist  $c_0, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$  not all 0 such that

$$c_0 \cdot v + c_1 \cdot \varphi(v) + \cdots + c_n \varphi^n(v) = 0_V.$$

For convenience, assume that  $c_n \neq 0$ , otherwise we can instead consider the sum to the largest *i* such that  $c_i \neq 0$ . What we want to do now is to factor the expression above into a product of degree-1 terms. This is where working over  $\mathbb{C}$  will be useful.

Let's begin by assuming V is over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then won't need self-adjointness.

**Proposition 2.1** Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a linear operator. Then  $\varphi$  has at least one eigenvalue.

OK, so we have  $c_0v + c_1\varphi(v) + \dots + c_n\varphi^n(v) = 0_V$  with  $c_n \neq 0$ .

Let P(x) denote the polynomial  $c_0 + c_1 x + \cdots + c_n x^n$ . Then the above can be written as  $(P(\varphi))(v) = 0$ , where  $P(\varphi) : V \to V$  is a linear operator defined as

$$P(\varphi) := c_0 \cdot \mathrm{id} + c_1 \cdot \varphi + \cdots + c_n \varphi^n$$
,

with id used to denote the identity operator. Since *P* is a degree-*n* polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ , it can be factored into *n* linear factors, and we can write  $P(x) = c_n \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i)$  for  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . This means that we can write

$$P(\varphi) = c_n(\varphi - \lambda_n \cdot id) \cdots (\varphi - \lambda_1 \cdot id).$$

Let's begin by assuming V is over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then won't need self-adjointness.

**Proposition 2.1** Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a linear operator. Then  $\varphi$  has at least one eigenvalue.

OK, so we have  $P(\varphi) = c_n(\varphi - \lambda_n \cdot id) \dots (\varphi - \lambda_1 \cdot id)$ , and  $P(\varphi)(\nu) = 0$ .

Let  $w_0 = v$  and define  $w_i = \varphi(w_{i-1}) - \lambda_i \cdot w_{i-1}$  for  $i \in [n]$ . That is, we are working through the computation of  $P(\varphi)(v)$  from right to left. Note that  $w_0 = v \neq 0_V$  and  $w_n = P(\varphi)(v) = 0_V$ . Let  $i^*$  denote the largest index i such that  $w_i \neq 0_V$ . Then, we have

$$0_V = w_{i^*+1} = \varphi(w_{i^*}) - \lambda_{i^*+1} \cdot w_{i^*}$$

This means that  $w_{i^*}$  is an eigenvector of  $\varphi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_{i^*+1}$ .

Now, what about when V is over  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

- Can do the same argument, except P now factors into linear and quadratic terms.
- Just need to show that we hit 0 in one of the linear terms, and not one of the irreducible quadratic terms.
- Specifically, want to show we don't get an equation of the form:  $0_V = \varphi^2(w_{i^*}) + b\varphi(w_{i^*}) + cw_{i^*}, with \ b^2 < 4c$

This is where self-adjointness comes in.

#### Now, what about when V is over $\mathbb{R}$ ?

• Want to show we don't get an equation of the form:  $0_V = \varphi^2(w_{i^*}) + b\varphi(w_{i^*}) + cw_{i^*}, with \ b^2 < 4c$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w_{i^*}, \varphi^2(w_{i^*}) + b\varphi(w_{i^*}) + cw_{i^*} \rangle &= \langle w_{i^*}, \varphi^2(w_{i^*}) \rangle + b\langle w_{i^*}, \varphi(w_{i^*}) \rangle + c\langle w_{i^*}, w_{i^*} \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi(w_{i^*}), \varphi(w_{i^*}) \rangle + b\langle w_{i^*}, \varphi(w_{i^*}) \rangle + c \|w_{i^*}\|^2 \\ &= \|\varphi(w_{i^*})\|^2 - |b| \|w_{i^*}\| \|\varphi(w_{i^*})\| + c \|w_{i^*}\|^2 \\ &= \left( \|\varphi(w_{i^*})\| - \frac{|b| \|w_{i^*}\|}{2} \right)^2 + \left(c - \frac{b^2}{4}\right) \|w_{i^*}\|^2 \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, the quadratic term can't be 0.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a self-adjoint linear operator and  $v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}$ . The Rayleigh quotient of  $\varphi$  at v is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) := \frac{\langle v, \varphi(v) \rangle}{\|v\|^2}$$

We can equivalently write  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) = \langle \hat{v}, \varphi(\hat{v}) \rangle$  for  $\hat{v} = v / ||v||$ .

In other words, it is the length of the projection of  $\varphi(\hat{v})$  onto  $\hat{v}$ .

If v was an eigenvector, then this would be the eigenvalue.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a self-adjoint linear operator and  $v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}$ . The Rayleigh quotient of  $\varphi$  at v is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) := \frac{\langle v, \varphi(v) \rangle}{\|v\|^2}$$

We can equivalently write  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) = \langle \hat{v}, \varphi(\hat{v}) \rangle$  for  $\hat{v} = v / ||v||$ .

**Proposition 3.2** Let dim(*V*) = *n* and let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a self-adjoint operator with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ . Then,

$$\lambda_1 = \max_{v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) \quad and \quad \lambda_n = \min_{v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v)$$

So, the vector v such that applying  $\varphi$  gives the largest "stretch" in the  $\hat{v}$  direction is the eigenvector of largest eigenvalue, and likewise for the eigenvector of smallest eigenvalue.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a self-adjoint linear operator and  $v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}$ . The Rayleigh quotient of  $\varphi$  at v is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) := \frac{\langle v, \varphi(v) \rangle}{\|v\|^2}$$

We can equivalently write  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) = \langle \hat{v}, \varphi(\hat{v}) \rangle$  for  $\hat{v} = v / ||v||$ .

**Proposition 3.2** Let dim(*V*) = *n* and let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a self-adjoint operator with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ . Then,

$$\lambda_1 = \max_{v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) \quad and \quad \lambda_n = \min_{v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v)$$

Proof: Let  $w_1, ..., w_n$  be an orthonormal basis of evectors with evalues  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ . Let  $\hat{v} = \sum_i c_i w_i$ . Then  $\langle \hat{v}, \varphi(\hat{v}) \rangle = \langle \sum_i c_i w_i, \sum_i \lambda_i c_i w_i \rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i |c_i|^2$ . Since  $\sum_i |c_i|^2 = 1$ , this is a weighted average of the  $\lambda_i$ 's, and so is maximized at  $c_1 = 1$ , and minimized at  $c_n = 1$ .

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a self-adjoint linear operator and  $v \in V \setminus \{0_V\}$ . The Rayleigh quotient of  $\varphi$  at v is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) := \frac{\langle v, \varphi(v) \rangle}{\|v\|^2}$$

We can equivalently write  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) = \langle \hat{v}, \varphi(\hat{v}) \rangle$  for  $\hat{v} = v / ||v||$ .

#### Extension / Generalization:

**Proposition 3.3 (Courant-Fischer theorem)** *Let* dim(V) = n and let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a selfadjoint operator with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ . Then,

$$\lambda_k = \max_{\substack{S \subseteq V \ \dim(S) = k}} \min_{v \in S \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_{arphi}(v) = \min_{\substack{S \subseteq V \ \dim(S) = n-k+1}} \max_{v \in S \setminus \{0_V\}} \mathcal{R}_{arphi}(v) \,.$$

#### Positive Semidefiniteness

**Definition 3.4** Let  $\varphi : V \to V$  be a self-adjoint operator.  $\varphi$  is said to be positive semidefinite if  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) \ge 0$  for all  $v \ne 0$ .  $\varphi$  is said to be positive definite if  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) > 0$  for all  $v \ne 0$ .

**Proposition 3.5** *Let*  $\varphi : V \to V$  *be a self-adjoint linear operator. Then the following are equivalent:* 

- 1.  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi}(v) \geq 0$  for all  $v \neq 0$ .
- 2. All eigenvalues of  $\varphi$  are non-negative.

Part of argument: if  $\varphi = \alpha^* \alpha$  then  $\langle v, \varphi(v) \rangle = \langle v, \alpha^*(\alpha(v)) \rangle = \langle \alpha(v), \alpha(v) \rangle \ge 0$ . This also means that if v is an eigenvector, its eigenvalue must be non-negative.

3. There exists  $\alpha : V \to V$  such that  $\varphi = \alpha^* \alpha$ .

The decomposition of a positive semidefinite operator in the form  $\varphi = \alpha^* \alpha$  is known as the Cholesky decomposition of the operator. Note that if we can write  $\varphi$  as  $\alpha^* \alpha$  for any  $\alpha : V \to W$ , then this in fact also shows that  $\varphi$  is self-adjoint and positive semidefinite.